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How does A Tiny Terminal Alkynyl End Group Drive Fully Hydrophilic Homopolymers to Self-Assemble into Multicompartment Vesicles and Flower-Like Complex Particles?

Tingting Liu, Wei Tian, Yunqing Zhu, Yang Bai, Hongxia Yan, and Jianzhong Du

It is a theoretical and technical challenge to construct well-defined nanostructures such as vesicles by fully hydrophilic homopolymers in pure water. In this paper, we incorporate one terminal alkynyl group into a fully hydrophilic linear or non-linear homopolymer to drive its unusual self-assembly in aqueous solution to form multicompartment vesicles, spherical compound micelles, flower-like complex particles, etc., which have been confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), atomic force microscope (AFM), dynamic/static light scattering (DLS/SLS) and drug encapsulation experiments. The formation of poly(N-isopropyl acrylamide) (NIPAM) and poly[(oligo(ethylene glycol) methacrylate) (POEGMA)₄₇₅] self-assemblies is mainly determined by the terminal alkynyl group itself (typically 1-3 wt %) while is independent of other factors such as traditional hydrophobic–hydrophilic balance. Moreover, upon increasing the chain length of PNIPAM homopolymer, multicompartment vesicles, spherical micelles, and large flower-like complex particles can be obtained during self-assembly process. In contrast, smaller micelles were formed when the kind of terminal alkynyl group attached into PNIPAM chain was changed from propargyl isobutyrate group to (di)propargyl 2-methylpropionamide group. Particularly, long chain hyperbranched structure with lots of terminal alkynyl groups induces the formation of vesicles. Also, the encapsulation experiment of doxorubicin hydrochloride was employed to further distinguish vesicular and micellar nanostructures. Additionally, the terminal alkynyl group-driven self-assembly has been applied to hydrophilic POEGMA₄₇₅ homopolymers to afford similar nanostructures to PNIPAM homopolymers such as multicompartment vesicles and spherical compound micelles. Our study has opened up a new way to prepare hydrophilic homopolymer self-assemblies with tunable morphology.

Introduction

Self-assembly of amphiphilic block copolymers (ABCs) can afford a range of nanostructures such as spherical micelles, vesicles, cylinders, fibers, helical superstructures, and macroscopic tubes, which has aroused much attention from materials science to biology. However, the strictly distinguishable hydrophobic and hydrophilic segments and the high demands on synthetic skills of ABCs have limited their application in the above-mentioned fields. In recent years, amphiphilic homopolymer self-assembly has been investigated aiming to avoid relatively complicated or time-consuming synthetic procedures compared with traditional ABCs. Homopolymer assemblies are indeed unique and therefore could find interesting applications in a broad range of areas including dye and drug encapsulation, separation, enzyme inhibition, catalysis, and biosensing. Thayumanavan reported that such homopolymers were capable of providing both micelle-like and inverse micelle-like assemblies depending on the solvent environment, which is an amplified consequence of the molecular level conformational changes in each monomer unit. Additionally, stable nano-/micro-particles, hollow spheres, and vesicles can be easily constructed by the controlled self-assembly of a variety of amphiphilic homopolymers.

Considering more accessible homopolymer alternatives and to avoid organic cosolvents during self-assembly, hydrophilic homopolymers prepared by universal monomers could be more widely used as compared to amphiphilic homopolymers. Generally, a suitable hydrophilic–hydrophobic balance in the backbone or side chains of amphiphilic homopolymers is required to form different nanostructures whereas adjusting the hydrophobic fraction in a fully hydrophilic homopolymer is difficult. Therefore, it is necessary to explore new driving forces for the self-assembly of hydrophilic homopolymers.
Recently, researchers have drawn attention to the importance of small changes in chain end chemistry (even a single methyl group) in controlling the solution behaviours of water-soluble polymers. In particular, it has been found that different end groups have a pronounced effect on the self-assembly of homopolymers. For example, Cheng et al. reported a polystyrene-(carboxylic acid-functionalized polyedral oligomeric silsesquioxane) conjugate, which can form micelles, vesicles and wormlike cylinders as a result of the effect of POSS-containing end carboxyl group interactions. Zhou et al. found that a novel pH-responsive polymer vesicle could be obtained by the aqueous self-assembly of carboxy-terminated hyperbranched polyesters, which was driven by hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen bonds. Until recently, hydrophilic homopolymers synthesized by a series of new RAFT chain transfer agents containing low weight fraction of hydrophobic end groups could self-assemble into well-defined vesicles and other nanostructures. However, close rigid ring structures such as pyrene or cholesterol as the end groups of hyperbranched homopolymers are prerequisite to drive the formation of those well-defined aggregates. Du and O’Reilly et al. recently emphasized on the effect of end groups originated from a commonly utilized RAFT chain transfer agent, S′-1-dodecyl-(S′)-(α,α′-dimethyl-α″-acetic acid) trithiocarbonate and its simple derivatives, on the self-assembly of hydrophilic homopolymers for the first time. In that case, both α and β chain end are still indispensable for the formation of regular nanostructures.

Compared with the above-mentioned simple linear structure, amphiphilic homopolymers with unique non-linear topologies, such as Y-shaped, cyclic, hyperbranched, and dendronized, have exhibited some unusual self-assembly behaviour and interesting applications. Therefore, we intend to introduce a kind of simple and usual end group into hydrophilic linear or non-linear homopolymers to drive their self-assembly in aqueous solution. The formation of self-assemblies is mainly determined by the end group itself while is independent of the hydrophilic–hydrophobic balance, the special hydrophobic groups, and the end-group weight fraction/position in hydrophilic homopolymers. What’s more, to demand the requirements of different fields, we intend to further adjust the morphology of self-assembled nanostructures by simply changing the structural parameters of homopolymers. Based on the above considerations and our previous work on the self-assembly of homopolymers, where we report self-assembly of hydrophilic linear and long chain hyperbranched homopolymers (LCHBHP) driven by terminal alkynyl groups originated from different atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) initiators. The morphologies of nanostructures are regulated by adjusting the chain length or the kind of terminal alkynyl groups. Additionally, the encapsulation capacity of homopolymer self-assemblies toward guest molecule was investigated to further distinguish micelles and vesicles.

Results and discussion

To conveniently induce the self-assembly of hydrophilic homopolymers, propargyl 2-bromoisobutyrate (I₁), propargyl 2-bromo-2-methylpropionamide (I₂), and dipropargyl 2-bromo-2-methylpropionamide (I₃) as simple alkynyl group-containing ATRP initiators were first synthesized by conventional esterification or amidation reaction (Scheme 1). For direct comparison and mechanism analysis of the self-assembly, initiators without alkynyl group such as ethyl 2-bromoisobutyrate (I₄) and benzyl 2-bromoisobutyrate (I₅) were prepared by esterification reaction (Scheme 1). Among these ATRP initiators, the molecular structure of I₃ synthesized in our lab was confirmed by ¹H and ¹³C NMRs, FTIR, electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (Figure S1), and elemental analysis. Other ones were prepared according to the references (I₁, I₂, and I₅) or commercial available (I₄). The characterization data were provided in Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI).

With the above functional ATRP initiators a range of hydrophilic homopolymers based on N-isopropyl acrylamide (NIPAM) and oligo(ethylene glycol) methacrylate (OEGMA) were synthesized by ATRP, as shown in Scheme 1. As shown in Table S1, homopolymers 1–5, 7, 8, 9–11 were synthesized by the ATRP of NIPAM or OEGMA with single or double terminal alkynyl groups introduced by I₁–I₃, or without alkynyl groups from I₄ and I₅. Compared with the above linear homopolymers, LCHBHP 6 was prepared by polymerization of AB₂ macromonomers (5', see Scheme 1), PNIPAM containing an azide group at its one end and two terminal propargyl groups at the other end via click reaction, based on the report by Pan et al. To obtain 5', the terminal bromine group of 5 was substituted by N₃ group via the reaction with sodium azide in DMF. SEC/MALLS study shows that the Mₘ increases from 4100 for 5' (5) to 44300 for 6 (Table S1 and Figure S2B), indicating the occurrence of polymerization reaction. Furthermore, the LCHBHP 6 possesses an intrinsic viscosity (ηₛ) of 7.0 and an exponent α of 0.43, implying the compact hyperbranched conformation. The ¹H NMR spectra of homopolymers 5, 5', and 6 are shown in Figure S3. Compared with 5 and 5', the appearance of proton in triazole ring (about δ = 7.96) confirms the occurrence of click reaction in the hyperbranched polymerization process. The NMRs data of other homopolymers were listed in SI. Both NMRs and SEC/MALLS confirmed the successful synthesis of hydrophilic homopolymers 1-11. Additionally, monodispersed peaks were observed for 1-11 based on SEC/MALLS elution curves, indicating their high purity (Figure S2). The weight fraction of the hydrophobic end group in the whole hydrophilic homopolymer (HPO/HPI) is summarized in the Table S1. Obviously, the HPO/HPI values of all hydrophilic homopolymers are very low.
With a range of hydrophilic homopolymers with various terminal alkynyl groups in-hand the self-assembly of these polymers in aqueous solution was carefully examined. Here we first take a series of PNIPAM homopolymers (1-6) as typical examples. Self-assembly was easily promoted via directly dissolving homopolymer in water with a concentration of 0.2 mg/mL at 20 °C. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM), atomic force microscope (AFM), dynamic/static light scattering (DLS/SLS), fluorescence spectrophotometry (FL) and $^1$H NMR (in D$_2$O) measurements were conducted to obtain a deeper insight into the self-assembly morphology and size of these homopolymers.

TEM and AFM were used to image the morphology of self-assemblies from these homopolymers. Typical TEM images were obtained by drying aqueous solutions of samples at room temperature on a copper grid without any staining (Fig. 1). Multicompartment vesicles (MCVs) were suggested by TEM analysis of self-assemblies from homopolymer 1 containing the initiator $I_1$ group (Fig. 1 A and E) with an average diameter ($D_{av}$) of 89 nm. The MCVs consist of some small vesicles (ca. 18 nm). While spherical compound micelles (SCMs) with a $D_{av}$ of 183 nm were found in aqueous solution of 2 as shown in Fig. 1 B and F. Interestingly, bigger flower-like complex particles (FCPs) with a mean diameter of about 276 nm were observed in aqueous solution of 3 (Fig. 1 C and G). The similar morphologies were found in self-assembly of amphiphilic block copolymers, whereas it was observed in fully hydrophilic homopolymer self-assembly for the first time. Furthermore, the

Scheme 1. Molecular structures and synthetic routes for ATRP initiators ($I_1$-$I_5$) and homopolymers (1-11)
morphologies of MCVs, SCMs and FCPs were further confirmed by SLS studies, which will be discussed later.

The evident morphological transformation amongst homopolymers 1-3 may be attributed to the different polymer chain lengths. However, compared with the morphology of 1 with similar polymer chain length, much smaller micelles (ca. 27 nm) with less regularity were formed (Figures S4A and S4C) in aqueous solution of homopolymer 4. Furthermore, the micellar morphology of 5 (ca. 25 nm) with a dot-like dark core is more regular than that of 4 as the double terminal alkynyl structure was introduced to the homopolymer (Figures S4B and S4D). The change in morphology amongst homopolymers 1, 4, and 5 may be induced by the different terminal alkynyl structures originated from initiators I₁, I₂, and I₃, as shown in Scheme 1.

TEM images (Fig. 1 D and H) obtained from the aqueous solution of homopolymer 6 with a long hyperbranched chain imply that a vesicular structure with a $D_{av}$ value of 181 nm. Similar vesicular morphology was also observed by Wu et al. for a dendritic-linear diblock complex.⁴⁹ Thus, it seems that the structure of hyperbranched homopolymer 6 is much simpler and the preparation method of directly dissolving polymer in water is more convenient.

Correspondingly, the AFM images of homopolymers 1-6 were measured to further verify the formation of the self-assemblies with different morphologies (Fig. 1 I-L and Figures S4E-S4F), which were generally in agreement with the results of TEM (Fig. 1 A-H). The AFM image in Fig. 1A didn’t show the holes as shown in the TEM images in Fig. 1 A and E. This is because the structure of MCVs is similar to a pomegranate, which consists of a smooth and thin shell layer including many small inner cores. The AFM only reveal the surface morphology, rather than the inner structure of MCVs (which can be viewed by TEM). Furthermore, the diameter/height ratio of 1 and 6 are about 10 and 11 respectively, which are much bigger than that of 2 (ca. 7) with a solid micellar structure, suggesting a vesicular structure. Meantime, AFM results further indicated the capability of controlling the morphologies of self-assemblies of homopolymers 1-6. The above results confirmed that these PNIPAM homopolymers can indeed self-assemble into nanostructures with different morphologies, which can be well adjusted by simply varying the polymer chain length, the kind of terminal alkynyl group, or the polymer topology, etc.
The Z-average diameter ($D_z$) and size distribution of self-assemblies of homopolymers 1-6 at the concentration of 0.2 mg/mL in aqueous solutions were determined by DLS, as shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2A. DLS analysis revealed that the $D_z$ of 1-3 are 382, 274, and 208, respectively when the degree of polymerization of PNIPAM was increased from 36, 45 to 85. This decrease in size upon increasing hydrophilic block ratio has been observed in other homopolymer or triblock copolymer system. Additionally, long chain hyperbranched polymer 6 possesses a bigger size than its linear macromonomer precursor 5 due to its 3D topology structure (Fig. 2B). As shown in Table 1, the $D_z$ values of 1-6 are larger than their $D_{av,TEM}$ values determined from TEM images in Fig. 1. There are two possibilities for this discrepancy. First, TEM and DLS show different morphologies in the solid and swollen states, respectively. Secondly, DLS is much more sensitive to large particles than small particles, whereas both small and big particles can be seen in TEM. To further confirm the observed self-assembly morphologies of these homopolymers, we utilized a combination of SLS and DLS techniques.

The radius of gyration ($R_g$) is defined as the mass weighted average distance from the center of mass to each mass element, which was measured by monitoring the angular dependence of the sample scattering intensity in SLS, whereas the hydrodynamic radius ($R_h$) is the representative of the size of a hard sphere that diffuses at the same rate as the particle being measured, which is measured by DLS. Usually, the $R_g/R_h$ value can predict the particle morphology. For example, a solid sphere has an $R_g/R_h$ of 0.774, while a thin-layer hollow sphere of 1.00. Therefore, the $R_g/R_h$ values of homopolymers 1 and 6 are 1.16 and 0.96 indicating vesicular structures (see Fig. 1 as well), whereas homopolymers 2-5 are 0.71, 0.80, 0.62, and 0.69, respectively, implying solid structures. Thus, DLS/SLS results further support that homopolymers 1-6 can self-assemble into vesicles or micelles in aqueous solution. Detailed information for $R_g/R_h$ values corresponding to different homopolymer morphologies can be seen in Fig. 1, Fig. 5 and Figure S4.
To further investigate the self-assembly process of homopolymers, the critical aggregation concentration (CAC), which acts as a key parameter to quantitatively confirm whether the micelles have been formed, was estimated by FL using pyrene as a hydrophobic probe. The ratio of the intensity of the third and first peaks (I3/I1) in the emission spectrum is very sensitive to the polarity of the medium surrounding pyrene molecules.31 Thus, the I3/I1 values of the pyrene emission spectra versus the logarithm of the polymer concentration are shown in Figure S5 in the supporting information. The CAC is obtained from the intersection of the baseline and the tangent of the rapidly rising I3/I1 curves, indicating the formation of micelles. The CAC values of homopolymers 1-6 listed in Table 1 are higher than those of conventional diblock copolymer systems (ca. 0.001–0.003 mg/mL)32 A most likely explanation is that the extremely low hydrophobic-hydrophilic balance exists in the structure of these homopolymers. Similar result was found in the study of self-assembly of hydrophilic homopolymers by Du and O’Reilly et al.36 In particular, homopolymers 1-3 containing the I1 terminal group display a rather high CAC compared to homopolymers 4-6 with the I2 or I3 terminal group. However, for the homopolymers carrying the same terminal group (such as 1-3 or 4-6), the CAC value is relatively close to each other, although 1-3 have different

Table 1. Size and morphology of homopolymer self-assemblies in aqueous solution (0.2 mg/mL) at 20 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Used ATRP initiators</th>
<th>Dnano,TEM (nm)</th>
<th>Dzave (nm)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>CMC (mg/mL)</th>
<th>I3/I1 above CMC</th>
<th>Morphologies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PIB-PNIPAM35-Br</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>multicompartiment vesicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PIB-PNIPAM40-Br</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>spherical compound micelles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PIB-PNIPAM45-Br</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>flower-like complex particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PMPA-PNIPAM35-Br</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>smaller micelles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DiPMPA –PNIPAM35-Br</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>smaller micelles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DiPMPA-HBNIPAM154-N3</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>hollow vesicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>EIB-PNIPAM47-Br</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>BIP-PNIPAM35-Br</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PIB-P(OEGMA35)12-Br</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>multicompartiment vesicles and spherical compound micelles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PMPA-P(OEGMA35)12-Br</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>spherical compound micelles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>DiPMPA-P(OEGMA35)12-Br</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>spherical compound micelles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*End groups: See Scheme 1; †Average diameter determined by TEM; ‡Z-average diameter determined by DLS; §Polydispersity of particles diameter determined by DLS; ‖The CMC determined by the I3/I1 values of pyrene solution with different polymer concentrations (see SI); ‖‖The I3/I1 value of pure pyrene solution is 1.45.

Fig. 2. Typical intensity-averaged diameter distributions of homopolymers 1-3 (A) and 4-6 (B) aqueous solutions at 0.2 mg/mL and 20 °C
polymer chain lengths, and 4 (or 5) is a linear homopolymer with only one (or two) terminal groups while 6 possesses long chain hyperbranched structure carrying a large number of terminals. These results indicate that the type of terminal alkynyl group has a more pronounced influence on the self-assembly process of homopolymers compared to other molecular structure parameters. Additionally, the I$_1$/I$_3$ values for these polymers above CAC are lower than that of the pure pyrene solution of 1.45, further indicating a greater amount of pyrene is solubilized in water upon addition of homopolymers. According to the results of Thayumanavan et al.,\textsuperscript{15,19} the I$_1$/I$_3$ value is a measure of the distribution coefficient of pyrene between the bulk solvent and the micellar container. When there is a greater volume of the hydrophobic containers available, the relative I$_1$/I$_3$ is likely to be lower.

$^1$H NMR analysis in D$_2$O was employed to further explore the well-defined structure of self-assemblies. In typical $^1$H NMR spectra of homopolymers 1 and 4-6 in D$_2$O are shown in Fig. 3. The disappearance of proton peak in alkynyl groups (about $\delta = 2.2-2.3$) for 1 and 4-6 suggests that the hydrophobic terminal groups were aggregated together in the polar hydrophilic solution (Fig. 3 A-a, B-a, C-a, and D-a) compared with the corresponding spectra in DMSO-d$_6$ (a good solvent for all the building blocks of these homopolymers) (Fig. 3 A-b, B-b, C-b and D-b). Although the hydrogen in alkynyl group is generally considered as an active hydrogen and might be exchanged in D$_2$O, Fukuzumi et al. found that the proton peak of acetylene appears at $\delta = 2.5$ in D$_2$O.\textsuperscript{53} Thus, it is reasonable to confirm the hydrophobic region of self-assemblies by this method. In contrast, proton peaks in PNIPAM backbone could still be found in both D$_2$O and DMSO-d$_6$ (Fig. 3). Based on the above analysis, it is convinced that the terminal alkynyl groups formed the hydrophobic region whereas the PNIPAM chain constructed the hydrophilic outer shell in the self-assembly process of homopolymers 1 and 4-6. Additionally, the proton in triazole ring as the branching unit of 6 disappeared in D$_2$O (Fig. 3D-a) compared with its spectrum in DMSO-d$_6$ (Fig. 3D-b). This result means that the hydrophilic triazole rings were buried in the hydrophobic moieties of vesicles formed by homopolymer 6 (see Fig. 1 H).\textsuperscript{44}
Based on the above results, we have preliminarily realized that different terminal alkynyl groups as the only hydrophobic component of homopolymers play an important role in their self-assembly behaviours. To further explore the driving force of self-assembly, homopolymers 7 and 8 with ethyl or benzyl group originated from I$_{4}$ and I$_{5}$ (Scheme 1), were used as controls. No regular morphology can be observed during the TEM analyses of 7 and 8 aqueous solution. Correspondingly, their $D_{s}$ values are about 10 nm and their PDLs are beyond the reliable value of 0.5 (Table 1 and Figure S6). Generally, when the $D_{s}$ value of particles is lower than 10 nm, it is considered as the polymer chain, rather than self-assembled nanostructure. What’s more, with increasing the polymer concentrations of 7 and 8 the I$_{1}$/I$_{1}$ values remain nearly unchanged (Figures S5G and S5H), indicating the characteristics of pyrene molecules in aqueous environment while not in micellar container. According to these results, we can conclude that the self-assembly is not formed for homopolymers 7 and 8 without terminal alkynyl groups. Besides, Du and O’Reilly et al. reported that the hydrophilic homopolymer with only one higher hydrophobicity end group (such as fluorescent pyrene group) did not self-assemble into regular nanostructures in aqueous solution. The result indicates that the presence of terminal alkynyl group is demonstrated to be a crucial structural requirement for the formation of hydrophilic homopolymer aggregates. On the other hand, given the extremely low HPO/HPI values of these homopolymers (Table S1) and the formation of homopolymer aggregates under varied HPO/HPI values, here the hydrophilic–hydrophobic balance should not be considered as the key factor for the self-assembly, although the hydrophobic component in general amphiphilic species is primarily responsible for driving self-assembly. This viewpoint can be further confirmed by examining the hydrophilic–hydrophobic transition of homopolymers 1–6 in aqueous solution. Variable temperature UV-vis spectrometry was employed in the present experiments with a constant polymer concentration of 0.2 mg/mL at a constant heating rate of 1 °C/min. The transmittance of these homopolymer aqueous solutions decreased with increasing temperature, indicating a hydrophilic–hydrophobic transition, as shown in Figure S7. The lower critical solution temperature (LCST) of these homopolymers is evident higher than that of the temperature of self-assembly occurrence, which is only 20 °C. This result indicates that the hydrophilic–hydrophobic balance is almost impossible to be broken due to the LCST behaviour of PNIPAM as homopolymer self-assembly were formed in aqueous solution at 20 °C. Additionally, all aqueous solutions of homopolymer self-assembly are completely transparent and stable. For example, the digital photos of homopolymer 2 solutions at 20 and 70 °C are shown in Fig. 4. Therefore, it is credible that the terminal alkynyl group is the main driving force of self-assembly of hydrophilic homopolymers.

In this paper, the terminal alkynyl group-driven self-assembly is reported for the first time. It seems difficult to understand the tiny terminal alkynyl group to drive the self-assembly. By comparing with other end groups such as pyrene, cholesteryl, or dodecyl groups, which have been utilized to induce the self-assembly of hydrophilic homopolymers, alkynyl as a simple functional group has no strong intermolecular interaction (such as π–π interactions) and high hydrophobicity. In our opinion, the active hydrogen existing in unsaturated triple bonding of alkynyl group may form intermolecular hydrogen bonding with oxygen atom of carbonyl group, leading to the formation of different structural aggregates, such as MCVs, SCMs, and FCPs (Scheme 2). To confirm the existence of hydrogen-bonding in the self-assembly process, the FTIR-ATR spectra of homopolymers 1–6 in THF and water were compared. Owing to the similar spectra and results, we here only take homopolymer 2 as a typical example (see Fig. 5) to discuss the formation of hydrogen bonding while others are shown in Figure S8. As shown in Fig. 4, one significant change can be seen in the absorbance peak of C=O groups in homopolymer 2 spectra. Comparing the spectra of homopolymer 2 solution in THF and homopolymer 2 self-assembly, the peak at about 1648 cm$^{-1}$ are shifted to lower wavenumbers of 1643 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, along with the expanding and strengthening of C=O absorbance. The formation of strong inter/intra-polymer hydrogen bonding might contribute to the above obvious shifting in the homopolymer 2 spectra. This result is in agreement with report on the hydrogen-bonding-mediated vesicular self-assembly by Ghosh et al. It should be noticed that the absorbance peak of alkynyl group couldn’t be observed in THF and water. This might be attributed to the low end group content and solvent effect. Anyway, the action of intermolecular hydrogen bonding from amino, carboxyl, or hydroxyl group in the self-assembly of homopolymers has been found and further investigated by different research groups.
To examine the encapsulation function of homopolymer self-assemblies, water-soluble doxorubicin hydrochloride (DOX•HCl) was selected as the guest molecule (Scheme S1) because its main absorption peak can be easily detected by fluorescence emission spectroscopy in an aqueous solution. Fig. 6 shows the fluorescence emission spectra of DOX•HCl solutions in the presence of homopolymers 1 and 4-6. Generally, Fig. 6 presents that the peak intensities of DOX•HCl in homopolymer solutions regularly decrease (0.5 mg/mL to 2.5 mg/mL). This phenomenon reveals that DOX•HCl molecules can be encapsulated into the hollow cavity of vesicles or the solid micelle core. However, the peak intensities of DOX•HCl in homopolymer solutions present a more evident decreased tendency in Fig. 6 A and D than in Fig. 6 B and C, which also indicates the enhanced encapsulation ability of homopolymers 1 and 6 toward DOX•HCl molecules compared with 4 and 5. Additionally, the inset pictures in Fig. 6 also show that the emission intensities at the λ_max value of DOX•HCl decrease with increasing homopolymer concentration. The above results further indicated different self-assembly morphologies of homopolymers 1 and 4-6 in aqueous solution. For 1 and 6 with hollow morphology (Fig. 1 E, H, I, and L), more encapsulation space can be supplied for hydrophilic DOX•HCl due to the existence of hollow structure, whereas for 4 and 5 micelles with small hydrophobic solid micelle core (Fig. 1 F and G), it is difficult to encapsulate hydrophilic DOX•HCl molecules. Only small amount of free hydrophobic DOX can be loaded in the hydrophobic core of micelles. Therefore, the results of encapsulation experiment are in agreement with TEM, AFM and DLS/SLS results.
Lastly, we intend to confirm that the terminal alkynyl group-driven self-assembly can be applied to other hydrophilic homopolymers. For example, the self-assembly of homopolymers 9-11 in aqueous solution was evaluated by TEM, DLS/SLS and FL. Evident vesicular or micellar structures were found in the aqueous solutions of homopolymers 9-11 in Fig. 7. Interestingly, different self-assembly morphologies including MCVs and SCMs coexisted in the self-assembly system of homopolymer 9 (Fig. 7 A and A’). The corresponding formation mechanism is still not clear, however, this phenomenon implies that the self-assembly morphology should have a direct correlation with the kind of alkynyl group and polymer chain according to our above analysis. Anyway, MCVs were also found in the aqueous solutions of homopolymer 1, which possess the same terminal group while different polymer chains with 9. Additionally, the results of DLS/SLS and CMC of homopolymers 9-11 in aqueous solutions listed in Table 1 and shown in Fig. 7 and Figures S5I-S5K were in accordance with the results of TEM, further confirming the formation of different self-assemblies. This indicates that different polymer chains may themselves affect the final self-assembly morphology of homopolymers. However, it is definitely that the terminal alkynyl group from different ATRP initiators (I1-I3) should be the most crucial factor when considering the self-assembly of hydrophilic homopolymers.
Conclusions

Fully hydrophilic linear or long chain hyperbranched homopolymers with terminal alkynyl groups can be directly dissolved in water to form nanostructures such as multicompartiment vesicles, spherical compound micelles, and flower-like complex particles. The terminal alkynyl group is the main driving force of self-assembly of hydrophilic homopolymers. TEM, AFM observation and SLS studies confirmed that PNIPAM homopolymers are able to self-assemble into different nanostructures, such as MCVs, SCMs, FCPs, simple micelles, and simple vesicles, which are closely depending on the polymer chain length, the kind of terminal alkynyl group, and the polymer topological structure. Furthermore, FL and $^1$H NMR in D$_2$O revealed the formation and component of homopolymer self-assemblies. The encapsulation experiment of doxorubicin hydrochloride further distinguishes vesicular and micellar structures. As a result, the terminal alkynyl group-driven self-assembly has been successfully applied to other homopolymers, such as hydrophilic POEGMA$_{475}$ to form vesicles and micelles. Therefore, terminal alkynyl group-driven self-assembly opens up a convenient and effective way to prepare hydrophilic homopolymer-based nanostructures with tunable morphology for potential applications in drug delivery, biosensor, nanoreactor, and enzyme-catalyzed reaction.

Experimental section

Materials

I$_4$ was purchased from J&K Chemical Technology (China). Tris[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]amine (Me$_5$TREN, 99%, Alfa Aesar), ethyl 2-bromoisobutyrate (EBIB, 99%, Alfa Aesar), OEGMA$_{475}$ ($M_n = 475$ g/mol, 98%, Aldrich), and NIPAM (99%, Acros) were used as received. N,N,N',N'',N''-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine (PMDTA) was supplied by Yutian Chemical, Ltd. (Liyang City, China) and used as received without further purification. 4-Dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, 95%) was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China. DOX•HCl was purchased from Alfa Aesar China. CuBr was stirred with acetic acid overnight, then washed with ethanol and dried under vacuum at 25 °C. Other reagents were purchased from Tianjin Kermel Chemical Reagents Development Center (Tianjin City, China). They were dried with 4 Å grade molecular sieves before use without further purification.

Polymer Structure Characterization

The FTIR spectra were obtained on a Nicolet iS10 spectrometer (Nicolet, USA) casting samples into thin films on KBr. Transition mode was used and the wave number range was set from 4000 cm$^{-1}$ to 500 cm$^{-1}$. FTIR-ATR spectra of the homopolymers 1-6 solutions were processed by ATR correction. A solution of the sample in either THF or water was placed in the liquid cell, and the spectra of the solutions were recorded. Measurement of $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR spectra was
conducted on a Bruker Avance 300 spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin, Switzerland) operating at 300 MHz (1H) in DMSO-d$_6$ or D$_2$O. Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry was recorded using a microTOF-Q II (Varian Inc., USA). Elemental analysis was conducted on a VARIO EL III elemental analysis meter (VARIO, Germany). The molecular structure parameters of the resulting polymers were determined on a DAWN EOS SEC/MALLS instrument equipped with a viscometer (Wyatt Technology, USA). HPCL-grade DMF containing LiCl (0.01 mol/L) (at 40 °C) or THF (at 25 °C) was used as eluent at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. The chromatographic system consisted of a Waters 510 pump, differential refractometer (Optilab rEX), and one-column MZ 10$^3$ Å 300 × 8.0 mm for DMF system as well as two-column MZ 10$^4$ Å and 10$^4$ Å for THF system. MALLS detector (DAWN EOS), quasi-elastic light scattering (QELS), and differential viscosity meter (ViscoStar) were placed between the SEC and the refractive index detector. The molecular weight ($M_w$) and molecular weight distribution (MWD) were determined by a SEC/DAWN EOS/Optilab/EX/QELS model. The intrinsic viscosity ($\eta_{inh}$) was determined by a SEC/DAWN EOS/ViscoStar model. ASTRA software (Version 5.1.3.0) was utilized for acquisition and analysis of data.

**Polymer Solution Characterization**

The size and morphology of the unimolecular and multimolecular micelles with different polymer concentrations (2×10$^{-2}$ mg/mL and 1.5 mg/mL) were revealed by TEM (Hitachi H-7650, Japan) at an acceleration voltage of 80 kV. Samples were prepared by dropping 10 μL of polymer solution on copper grids without staining and then left to dry in air. The morphology was visualized using an AFM with a tapping mode and a Nanowizard II controller (Benyuan, CSPM 5500, China).

Tip information: radius ≤ 33 mm, cantilever length 10 μm; width 100 μm; thickness 30 mm, resonant frequency 300 kHz, force constant 40 N m$^{-1}$. A Zetasizer Nano-ZS DLS (Malvern Instruments, UK) was used to determine the hydrodynamic diameter of self-assemblies. Each sample was kept at a predetermined temperature for 3 min before measurement without any filter. SLS analysis was performed on a DAWN HELEOS- II multi-angle light scattering detector (Wyatt Technology Corporation, USA) operated at 665 nm, using Gallium-arsenic as incident laser beam source. SLS data were collected at 6 different concentrations of the aggregates and 18 different angles for each concentration. The data were analyzed using the Zimm plot method on HELEOS- II Firmware 2.4.0.4 Advanced software to determine $R_g$.

**Polymer Solution Properties**

To obtain the CMC of the polymer solution, the solid polymer was initially dissolved in water with certain pyrene content. Then, the polymer solution was diluted step-by-step to various desired concentrations (from 1×10$^{-6}$ mg/mL to 0.4 mg/mL) while keeping the pyrene concentration at around 6×10$^{-6}$ mol/L. The emission spectra were recorded by FL (Hitachi F-4600, Japan) from 355 nm to 550 nm with an excitation wavelength of 335 nm, and then the $I/I_0$ ratio values of all spectra were calculated.

The LCSTs of the homopolymers 1-6 were first determined by UV–vis (Shimadzu UV-2550 model, Japan). Optical transmittances of the homopolymer aqueous solutions with a constant polymer concentration of 0.2 mg/mL were recorded at 550 nm under different temperature conditions. Sample cells were thermostated with an external constant temperature controller. The temperature ramp was set at 1 °C min$^{-1}$. The LCST values of the homopolymers were defined as the temperatures corresponding to 90% transmittance of the aqueous solution during the heating process. 

The guest encapsulation of homopolymers 1 and 4-6 was measured by FL (Hitachi F-4600, Japan) using DOX•HCl (5 × 10$^{-3}$ mol/L) as guest molecule in a buffer solution with ionic strength equal to 0.1 mol/L. Typically, the homopolymer solution was diluted step-by-step to various desired concentrations (from 0.5 mg/mL to 2.5 mg/mL) using different guest molecule solutions. All solutions were maintained for more than 12 h to ensure the binding equilibrium and then stirred prior to measurement. 
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