# Site This: ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 5926–5932

# Electrochemical Sensor Coating Based on Electrophoretic Deposition of Au-Doped Self-Assembled Nanoparticles

Rongli Zhang,<sup>†,‡</sup> Ye Zhu,<sup>†</sup> Jing Huang,<sup>†</sup> Sheng Xu,<sup>†</sup> Jing Luo,<sup>†</sup><sup>©</sup> and Xiaoya Liu<sup>\*,†</sup><sup>©</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Key Laboratory of Synthetic and Biological Colloids, Ministry of Education, School of Chemical and Material Engineering, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, China

 $^{\ddagger}$ School of Biological and Chemical Engineering, Anhui Polytechnic University, Wuhu 241000, China

**Supporting Information** 

ACS APPLIED MATERIALS

& INTERFACES

**ABSTRACT:** The electrophoretic deposition (EPD) of selfassembled nanoparticles (NPs) on the surface of an electrode is a new strategy for preparing sensor coating. By simply changing the deposition conditions, the electrochemical response for an analyte of deposited NPs-based coating can be controlled. This advantage can decrease the difference between different batches of sensor coating and ensure the reproducibility of each sensor. This work investigated the effects of deposition conditions (including deposition voltage, pH value of suspension, and deposition time) on the structure



and the electrochemical response for L-tryptophan of sensor coating formed from Au-doped poly(sodium  $\gamma$ -glutamate) with pendant dopamine units nanohybrids (Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs) via the EPD method. The structure and thickness of the deposited sensor coating were measured by atomic force microscopy, which demonstrated that the structure and thickness of coating can be affected by the deposition voltage, the pH value of the suspension, and the deposition time. The responsive current for Ltryptophan of the deposited sensor coating were measured by differential pulse voltammetry, which showed that the responsive current value was affected by the structure and thickness of the deposited coating. These arguments suggested that a rich designspace for tuning the electrochemical response for analyte and a source of variability in the structure of sensor coating can be provided by the deposition conditions. When Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs were deposited on the electrode surface and formed a continuous coating with particle morphology and thinner thickness, the deposited sensor coating exhibited optimal electrochemical response for L-tryptophan.

**KEYWORDS:** electrophoretic deposition, electrochemical sensor, sensor coating, self-assembled nanoparticles,  $Au/\gamma$ -PGA-DA nanohybrids

# INTRODUCTION

Being a precursor of hormone for neurotransmitter serotonin and other relevant biomolecules, L-tryptophan is an essential amino acid for the human body and an important component of protein biosynthesis in the living organisms.<sup>1,2</sup> However, many side effects can be caused due to large quantity or improper intake of L-tryptophan in the body. So, it is urgent to find a simple and rapid method for determining L-tryptophan with high selectivity and sensitivity.<sup>1,2</sup> The electrochemical method has received considerable attention for determining Ltryptophan due to its high sensitivity, simple operation mode, low cost, and L-tryptophan's inherent electroactivity. However, the direct oxidation of L-tryptophan at bare electrode is not satisfactory because of high overpotential and slow electron transfer processes. To overcome these defects, various materials have been used to modify electrode for enhancing the selectivity and sensitivity of the electrochemical methods.

The self-assembled nanoparticles (NPs), which combined the unique physical or chemical properties of amphiphilic polymers and the hierarchical nanophase-separated nanoeffect resulted from the self-assembly of amphiphilic polymers, have been used in electrochemical sensors.<sup>5–7</sup> Miao et al.<sup>8</sup> first used self-assembled botanical inositol hexakisphosphoric NPs and horseradish peroxidase via casting method to fabricate biosensor coating, which possessed a low detection limit (0.1  $\mu$ mol·L<sup>-1</sup>) and fast response (3 s) for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Sigolaeva et al.<sup>9</sup> used self-assembled polybutadiene-block-poly(2-(dimethylamino) ethyl methacrylate) NPs as a platform for immobilizing enzyme to prepare sensor coating via layer-by-layer deposition method. In our previous work, the Au NPs were used to dope poly(sodium  $\gamma$ -glutamate) with pendant dopamine units ( $\gamma$ -PGA–DA) NPs, obtaining the Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA nanohybrids (NBs). Through the casting method,  $Au/\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs formed sensor coating on the electrode surface. The prepared sensor coating exhibited a good analytical performance for Ltryptophan. The introduction of Au NPs in NBs coating played an important role in enhancing the conductivity between NBs coating and the underlying electrode.<sup>10</sup> Despite all of these

Received:September 6, 2017Accepted:January 24, 2018Published:January 24, 2018

### **ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces**

developments, it was a great challenge to controllably integrate the self-assembled NPs on the surface of electrode to prepare sensor coating.

Electrophoretic deposition (EPD) is a commonly used method for preparing coating. The deposited weight and coatings structure prepared by the EPD methods can be easily controlled by deposition conditions like the deposition time, the deposition voltage, the salt concentration in suspension, the pH value, and the concentration of the suspension.<sup>11-13</sup> Our previous work reported that the molecular imprinted NPs selfassembled from amphiphilic polymer and template molecule can be deposited on the surface of electrode for preparing molecular imprinted sensor coating. The resultant sensor coatings demonstrated broad linearity and good selectivity and reproducibility for detecting the template molecule.<sup>7,14–17</sup> The electrochemical response for an analyte of the deposited NPsbased sensor coating can be controlled by simply changing the deposition conditions. This advantage can decrease the difference between different batches of sensor coating and ensure the reproducibility of each sensor.

In this work, the Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs were deposited from the aqueous solutions onto the gold electrode (GE) surface via the EPD method for preparing the sensor coating. The effects of deposition conditions on the structure and the electrochemical response for L-tryptophan of deposited NBs coating were investigated. The deposition mechanism is shown in Figure 1. Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs were pH-responsive NPs. At



**Figure 1.** EPD mechanism of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs on GE surface for fabricating sensor coating.

high pH value, the carboxyl groups of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs were deprotonated, making them water-dispersed anionic NBs. When a constant positive voltage was applied, the negatively charged Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs were attracted and moved toward GE; the electrochemical reactions generated the low pH conditions, which instigated the carboxylate groups (–COO<sup>-</sup>) to undergo protonation (to form –COOH) and to be deposited at the anode surface.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Materials.** Poly(sodium  $\gamma$ -glutamate) ( $\gamma$ -PGA,  $M_w$  700 000–100 000 KDa) was supplied by AMRESCO. Dopamine (DA), hydrochloric acid (HCl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), phosphate, 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC·HCl), sodium chloride (NaCl), hydrogen tetrachloroaurate trihydrate (HAuCl<sub>4</sub>· 3H<sub>2</sub>O), N-hydroxysuccinimide, and L-tryptophan were purchased from Aladdin Chemistry Co. Ltd., China.

EPD of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs for Preparing Sensor Coating. The preparation of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs has been reported in our previous published work.<sup>10</sup> Herein, the Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs suspension prepared from the molar ratio (Au<sup>3+</sup> to the repeated units of  $\gamma$ -PGA–DA) of 0.3 was used as a deposition bath for EPD. The concentration of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs suspension was 0.1 mol·L<sup>-1</sup>.

The EPD was performed under a constant positive voltage using a three-electrode system. A bare GE, which was first treated by polishing cloth with some alumina particles and then cleaned by ultrasonication in ethanol and ultrapure water, was used as the working electrode. A saturated calomel electrode (SCE) was used as the reference electrode. A platinum electrode served as the counter electrode. The potentials applied to the working electrode were in reference to the SCE. The distance between any two electrodes was 3 cm. The effective area of GE was 28.26 mm<sup>2</sup>.

After EPD, the GE coated with Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs was removed from the deposition bath. It was then rinsed and kept under conditions that prevented the coating from redissolving. To form a uniform sensor coating, a beaker was used to cover the electrode, slowing the evaporation rate of water in air at room temperature. The effects of the deposition voltage, the pH value of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs suspension, and the deposition time on the structure and the electrochemical response for L-tryptophan of sensor coating were investigated, respectively.

**Characterization.** The  $\zeta$  potential and the particle size of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs were determined by a Nano-ZS instrument (Malvern Instruments) at 20 °C. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements were conducted on a CSPM3300 (Benyuan Co.) with a horizontal resolution of 0.2 nm and a vertical resolution of 0.1 nm. The morphology of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs coating was confirmed by a field emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Hitachi S-4800) operating at 1 kV. The pH value of the solution was detected by a precision digital pH meter (pHS-3C). All of the electrochemical experiments were conducted on an Epsilon electrochemical workstation (BAS) using a three-electrode system. The GE coated with Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs was used as the working electrode. A SCE was used as the reference electrode. A platinum electrode served as the counter electrode. The potentials applied to the working electrode were in the reference to the SCE.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Deposition Voltage Effect on the Structure and Electrochemical Response of Sensor Coating. Deposition voltage is a key parameter affecting the EPD kinetics and the coating structure. Figure 2 shows the current—time curves of the coatings formed from the Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA—DA NBs suspension with the pH value of 3.6 under different deposition voltages. The deposition time is 30 s. It can be seen that during the EPD process, prolonging the deposition time leads to the current values first decreasing significantly and then becoming stable when the deposition voltage is in the range of 0.5–1.5 V. In



**Figure 2.** Current–time curves during the Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs EPD process under different deposition voltages. The pH value of NBs suspension and the deposition time were 3.6 and 30 s, respectively.



Figure 3. AFM three-dimensional (3D) images and illustrations of bare GE (A) and sensor coatings formed from Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs under 0.5 V (B) and 1.0 V (C). (D) Differential pulse voltamograms (DPVs) for t-tryptophan ( $1 \times 10^{-5}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup>) of sensor coatings formed from NBs under different deposition voltages. The pH value of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs suspension and the deposition time were 3.6 and 30 s, respectively.

addition, the current values increase with increase in the deposition voltage at the same deposition time. However, when the deposition voltage is set as 2.0 V, the current values decrease significantly first and then increase gradually with prolonging of the deposition time. It is reported that an unstable current can affect the quality and properties of the deposited coating.<sup>18</sup> It may be necessary that the deposition voltage for EPD of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs should be lower than 2 V.

The structure of the dried sensor coatings formed from Au/  $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs under different deposition voltages are investigated by SEM. It can be observed from Figure S1 in the Supporting Information that more continuous coatings are formed at the lower deposition voltages (0.5 or 1.0 V), whereas the coatings quality deteriorate and are porous or sponge-like when relatively higher deposition voltages (1.5 or 2.0 V) are used. The reason may be that the deposition of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs on the electrode surface is a kinetic phenomenon. The packing behavior of the Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs in the coating can be influenced by their deposition rate. Under higher deposition voltage, the fast electrolysis of water may cause gas evolution and turbulence at the electrode. The flows in the surrounding medium may disturb the coating during the process of deposition.<sup>19</sup> Additionally, under higher deposition voltage, the deposition rate of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs is fast. It is difficult for Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs to find enough time to sit in their best positions for forming a close-packed structure.<sup>19</sup> The structures of dried coatings formed from Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs under 0.5 and 1.0 V are further investigated by AFM. The AFM images

were plotted with the height scale of 300 nm. As shown in Figure 3A–C, it is apparent that Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs have been deposited on the GE surface. Compared with the morphology of the sensor coating formed under 0.5 V (SC<sub>0.5V</sub>), the particle morphology of SC<sub>1.0V</sub> is more uniform. The thickness estimated from the AFM increases from 187.5 to 305.9 nm with increase in the deposition voltage from 0.5 to 1.0 V, which can be ascribed to the increase in the deposition rate at a higher deposition voltage.<sup>20</sup>

The electrochemical responses of coatings formed under 0.5 and 1.0 V are investigated by differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) in a phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 7.0) containing  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan. The differential pulse voltamograms (DPVs) are shown in Figure 3D. An anodic peak potential is observed at 0.673 V, which corresponds to the oxidation peak of L-tryptophan.<sup>10</sup> Compared with the SC<sub>0.5V</sub>, the response current value of SC<sub>1.0V</sub> increases due to large surface-to-volume ratio. In the following experiment, the deposition voltage is set as 1.0 V.

pH Value of Suspension Effect on the Structure and Electrochemical Response of Sensor Coating. Besides the deposition voltage, the size and  $\zeta$  potential of NPs play important roles in the structure and the properties of coating.<sup>20</sup> With many carboxyl groups in Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs, the size and  $\zeta$  potential of NBs can be remarkably influenced by the pH value of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs suspension. Compared with inorganic NPs, the structure of self-assembled Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs in the solution also can be affected by the pH value of the solution. So, the size,  $\zeta$  potential, and structure of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–

**Research Article** 



**Figure 4.** AFM 3D images and illustrations of sensor coating formed from NBs suspension with various pH values: (A) pH 3.00; (B) pH 3.60; (C) pH 4.00; and (D) pH 5.00. (E) Thickness (a) and response currents for L-tryptophan  $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1})$  (b) of sensor coatings formed from NBs suspensions with various pH values. The deposition voltage and deposition time was 1.0 V and 30 s, respectively.

DA NBs all can affect the structure and the electrochemical response of sensor coating. The  $\zeta$  potential and the average size of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs varying with the pH value of suspension are shown in Figure S2. With the increase in the pH values from 3.6 to 5.0, the average size of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs increases slightly due to the swelling of the polymer chain resulting from the deprotonation of the carboxyl groups, gradually forming a loose structure. When the pH values decrease from 3.6 to 3.0, the protonation of the carboxylate groups weakens the repulsive force between NBs, leading to the coalescence of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs and a compact structure. The  $\zeta$  potential value of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs gradually increases from 20.2 to 39.4 V due to the deprotonation of the carboxyl groups when the pH value is increased from 3.0 to

5.0. Figure S3 shows the current-time curves during the formation of sensor coating deposited from the Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA-DA NBs suspension with various pH values. The deposition voltage and deposition time are 1.0 V and 30 s, respectively. During the EPD process, the current values decrease first and then tend to become stable with the prolonging of the deposition time in the pH range of 3.0–5.0, indicating that Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA-DA NBs are deposited on the surface of GE.

The structure of dried deposited sensor coatings formed from Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs suspension with various pH values are investigated by AFM (shown in Figure 4A–D). The AFM images reveal that when the pH value is in range of 3.0–5.0, NBs are deposited on the GE surface and form a continuous sensor coating. The sensor coating formed from the Au/ $\gamma$ -



Figure 5. (A) DPVs for L-tryptophan  $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol·L}^{-1})$  of sensor coatings formed from NBs suspension with various deposition time. (B) Thickness (a) and response currents for L-tryptophan  $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol·L}^{-1})$  (b) of sensor coatings formed from NBs suspension with various deposition time. The deposition voltage and the pH value of NBs suspension were 1.0 and 3.6 V, respectively.

PGA–DA NBs suspension with pH 3.6  $(SC_{pH3.6})$  maintains its particle morphology and is more uniform. The thickness estimated from the AFM images is shown in Figure 4E,a. It can be seen, as the pH value of suspension increases from 3.6 to 5.0, the thickness of the coating gradually decreases. The morphology and the thickness of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs coating are influenced by several factors. First, with an increase in the pH value from 3.6 to 5.0, the electrostatic repulsion between charged Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs increases due to the increase in the  $\zeta$  potential value, which can prevent the deposition of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA-DA NBs on the surface of the electrode.<sup>21</sup> Second, the increase in the particle size resulting from the swelling of the polymer chain in Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs decreases the EDP rate and the amount of deposit on the electrode surface. Third, the swelled Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs are easily deformed to form a flat coating on the surface of the electrode during the drying process, also leading to a decrease in the coating thickness. When the pH valve is decreased from pH 3.6 to pH 3.0, the coating thickness and homogeneity are decreased. The reason may be that the coalescence and flocculation of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs in the suspension result from the a decrease in  $\zeta$  potential during the EPD process.

The electrochemical responses for L-tryptophan  $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1})$  of sensor coating formed from the Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs suspensions with various pH values are investigated by DPV in PBS (pH 7.0). As shown in Figure 4E,b, the response current values slightly change when the pH value of the suspension is in the range of 3.0–4.5. However, the response current value of SC<sub>pH5.0</sub> for L-tryptophan decreases due to its flat morphology and a thinner thickness.

These results reveal that a uniform sensor coating with particle morphology can be formed on the GE surface when the size of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs is smallest and the structure of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs is compact. So, in the present experiment, the pH value of the suspension is set as 3.6.

**Deposition Time Effect on the Structure and Electrochemical Response of Sensor Coating.** When the properties of the particle suspension and the deposition voltage are fixed, the thickness and the structure of the coating can be readily controlled by the deposition time in the EPD method. The EPD process of Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs on the surface of GE is traced by SEM investigation. It can be seen in Figure S4B, after deposition for 5 s, many Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs are deposited and form a discontinuous coating on the GE surface. With prolonging of the deposition time to 30 s, a continuous coating with particle morphology is formed (Figure S4D). The thickness of the sensor coatings estimated from AFM varying with the deposition time is shown in Figure 5B,a. The results reveal that the thickness of the sensor coating increase first and then become stable with prolonging of the deposition time due to a decrease in the conductivity of the deposited coating.<sup>22,23</sup> The response currents for L-tryptophan ( $1 \times 10^{-5}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup>) of sensor coatings formed from the Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs suspension with different deposition time are shown in Figure 5B,b. It can be observed that the response current values significantly increase during the initial 30 s. But as more time is allowed, the rate of increase of the response current values become slow and attain a plateau at a high deposition time. The results reveal that the thickness and homogeneity of the sensor coating play important roles in the electrochemical response.

To further investigate the effect of thickness of sensor coating on the electrochemical response for L-tryptophan, the sensor coatings formed with the deposition time of 30, 45, and 60 s (SC<sub>30st</sub> SC<sub>45st</sub> and SC<sub>60st</sub> respectively) are used for sensing L-tryptophan with different concentrations. Figure S5 shows the DPVs and the linear calibration curves for detecting Ltryptophan. The linear range, linear regression equation, and correlation coefficient  $(R^2)$  are shown in Table S1. Compared with SC45s and SC60s, SC30s exhibits a wider detection range for L-tryptophan sensing, indicating that a thinner coating has more excellent detection performance. The detection limit of  $SC_{\rm 30s}$  is estimated to be  $3 \times 10^{-10}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> based on the signal/noise (S/N) = 3. Additionally, we compare  $SC_{30s}$  with other previously reported sensors for sensing L-tryptophan. As can be seen in Table S2, SC<sub>30s</sub> shows a wider detection range and a lower detection limit for sensing L-tryptophan than other sensors in the previous reports.<sup>1,2,10,24,25</sup>

To assess the applicability of  $SC_{30s}$  prepared via the EPD method,  $SC_{30s}$  is used to determine L-tryptophan in human blood serum samples. The human blood serum samples without any special treatment are diluted to 100 times with PBS (pH 7.0, 0.1 mol·L<sup>-1</sup>).  $SC_{30s}$  was then used to detect the human blood serum samples with spiked L-tryptophan.<sup>10</sup> As shown in Table 1, the recoveries of the L-tryptophan determination in human blood serum samples via  $SC_{30s}$  are

Table 1. Detecting L-Tryptophan in Blood Samples

blood sample	added $(mol \cdot L^{-1})$	found $(mol \cdot L^{-1})$	recovery (%)
1	$1 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.02 \times 10^{-7}$	102
2	$5 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.93 \times 10^{-7}$	98.6
3	$1 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.99 \times 10^{-7}$	99

**Research Article** 



Figure 6. (A) Response currents for L-tryptophan of SC<sub>30s</sub> in PBS of 0.1 mol·L<sup>-1</sup> (pH 7.0) with different kinds of interferents. (a)  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan, (b)  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan and  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-lysine, (c)  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan and  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-lysine, (c)  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan and  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-serine, (e)  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan and  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-serine, (e)  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan and  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-histidine, (f)  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan and  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-alanine, (g)  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan and  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-glycine. (a)  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan and  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-glycine. (b) The response currents for  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan in PBS of 0.1 mol·L<sup>-1</sup> (pH 7.0) of different four electrodes coated with SC<sub>30s</sub>. (C) The response currents for  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan in PBS of 0.1 mol·L<sup>-1</sup> (pH 7.0) of SC<sub>30s</sub> at various intervals.

good and in the range of 98–102%, indicating that SC<sub>30s</sub> is a promising system for detecting L-tryptophan.

Analytical selectivity is one of the important parameters affecting the accuracy of the analysis. The selectivity of the SC<sub>30s</sub> for the determination of L-tryptophan is studied by adding  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> various foreign amino acids (L-lysine, L-serine, L-histidine, L-alanine, L-glycine, L-proline, or L-phenylalanine) into PBS (pH 7.0, 0.1 mol·L<sup>-1</sup>) containing  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan. The response currents for L-tryptophan with different kinds of interferents are shown in Figure 6A. The results reveal that the response currents for L-tryptophan of SC<sub>30s</sub> is not significantly affected by all of the conventional amino acids, indicating that SC<sub>30s</sub> can be used to detect L-tryptophan with good selectivity.

To test the reproducibility of the method, four electrodes coated with  $SC_{30s}$  are constructed under identical experimental conditions. For sensing L-tryptophan, the current is obtained by using each of the electrodes coated with  $SC_{30s}$  to detect L-tryptophan  $(1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1})$  in PBS of 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> (pH 7.0). The response currents for  $1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$  L-tryptophan of different electrodes coated with  $SC_{30s}$  are shown in Figure 6B. The results reveal that the relative standard deviation of the responsive currents is lower than 3%, indicating that the method exhibits a good reproducibility.

The stability of the SC<sub>30s</sub> is also investigated through sensing  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> L-tryptophan in PBS (pH 7.0, 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup>). The response currents for L-tryptophan of SC<sub>30s</sub> at various intervals are shown in Figure 6C. The relative standard

deviation is approximately 2.3% (n = 8). The response currents for L-tryptophan of SC<sub>30s</sub> are kept well within 4 weeks and decrease by approximately 10% after the GE coated with SC<sub>30s</sub> is stored for 5 weeks, demonstrating a good stability of SC<sub>30s</sub>.

# CONCLUSIONS

A sensor coating was prepared from Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs via the EDP method. By simply altering the deposition conditions, the structure and the electrochemical response of the sensor coating can be controlled. When Au/ $\gamma$ -PGA–DA NBs of 0.1  $mg \cdot L^{-1}$  (pH 3.6) were deposited under the deposition voltage of 1.0 V for 30 s, a uniform coating with a nanoparticle morphology was formed on the surface of GE. The electrochemical response currents of the prepared sensor coating increased with increasing L-tryptophan concentration and showed linear relationships ranging from 1  $\times$  10<sup>-9</sup> to 1  $\times$  $10^{-5}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup>. The detection limit was as low as  $3 \times 10^{-10}$  mol· L<sup>-1</sup>. Compared with other previously reported electrochemical sensors, our prepared sensor coating exhibited a wider detection range for the determination of L-tryptophan. The prepared sensor coating also revealed good applicability, selectivity, reproducibility, and stability.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsami.7b13543.

## **ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces**

SEM images of bare GE (Figure S1); mean diameters and  $\zeta$  potentials of Au/ $\gamma$ PGADA NBs (Figure S2); current-time curves (Figure S3); SEM images of sensor coatings (Figure S4); DPVs of sensor coatings (Figure S5); comparison of sensor coatings formed from Au/ $\gamma$ PGA-DA NBs (Table S1); comparison of the sensing performance of SC<sub>30s</sub> (PDF)

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: lxy@jiangnan.edu.cn. Tel.: (+86)510-85917763. Fax: (+86)510-85917763.

#### ORCID 💿

Jing Luo: 0000-0001-9728-537X Xiaoya Liu: 0000-0003-2868-7601

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work acknowledges the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 21504001 and 21174056), the foundation of Key Laboratory of Synthetic and Biological Colloids, Ministry of Education, Jiangnan University (JDSJ2015-02).

#### REFERENCES

(1) Han, J.; Wang, Q. Q.; Zhai, J. F.; Han, L.; Dong, S. J. An Amperometric Sensor for Detection of Tryptophan Based on a Pristine Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotube/Graphene Oxide Hybrid. *Analyst* 2015, 140, 5295-5300.

(2) Zhao, D. S.; Lu, Y. L.; Ding, Y. P.; Fu, R. An Amperometric Ltryptophan Sensor Platform Based on Electrospun Tricobalt Tetroxide Nanoparticles Decorated Carbon Nanofibers. *Sens. Actuators, B* 2017, 241, 601–606.

(3) Ba, X.; Luo, L. Q.; Ding, Y. P.; Liu, X. Determination of Ltryptophan in the Presence of Ascorbic Acid and Dopamine Using Poly(sulfosalicylic acid) Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode. *Sens. Actuators, B* 2013, 187, 27–32.

(4) Liu, H. H.; Chen, Y. L.; Liu, Y. C.; Yang, Z. S. A Sensitive Sensor for Determination of L-tryptophan Based on Gold Nanoparticles/ Poly(alizarin red S)-Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode. *J. Solid State Electrochem.* 2013, 17, 2623–2631.

(5) Li, Z.; Ding, J. F.; Day, M.; Tao, Y. Molecularly Imprinted Polymeric Nanospheres by Diblock Copolymer Self-Assembly. *Macromolecules* **2006**, *39*, 2629–2636.

(6) Hu, J.; Li, C. H.; Liu, S. Y. Hg<sup>2+</sup>-Reactive Double Hydrophilic Block Copolymer Assemblies as Novel Multifunctional Fluorescent Probes with Improved Performance. *Langmuir* **2010**, *26*, 724–729.

(7) Yang, Y.; Yi, C. L.; Luo, J.; Liu, R.; Liu, J. K.; Jiang, J. Q.; Liu, X. Y. Glucose Sensors Based on Electrodeposition of Molecularly Imprinted Polymeric Micelles: A Novel Strategy for MIP Sensors. *Biosens. Bioselectron.* **2011**, *26*, 2607–2612.

(8) Miao, Y.; Wen, Y.; Dong, J.; Zhou, W. X.; Zhang, Z. R.; Yang, H. F. Botanical Micelle and Its Application for Direct Electrochemical Biosensor. *Biosens. Bioselectron.* **2011**, *26*, 2994–2999.

(9) Sigolaeva, L. V.; Pergushov, D. V.; Synatschke, C. V.; Wolf, A.; Dewald, I.; Kurochkin, I. N.; Feryc, A.; Müller, A. H. E. Co-Assemblies of Micelle-Forming Diblock Copolymers and Enzymes on Graphite Substrate for an Improved Design of Biosensor Systems. *Soft Matter* **2013**, *9*, 2858–2868.

(10) Zhang, R. L.; Xu, S.; Luo, J.; Shi, D. J.; Liu, C.; Liu, X. Y. One-Pot Green Synthesis of Nanohybrid Structures: Gold Nanoparticles in Poly( $\gamma$ -glutamic acid) Copolymer Nanoparticles. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 25106–25113.

(11) Liu, Y.; Zhang, B.; Gray, K. M.; Cheng, Y.; Kim, E.; Rubloff, G. W.; Bentley, W. E.; Wang, Q.; Payne, G. F. Electrodeposition of a Weak Polyelectrolyte Hydrogel: Remarkable Effects of Salt on Kinetics, Structure and Properties. *Soft Matter* **2013**, *9*, 2703–2710.

(12) Castro, Y.; Ferrari, B.; Moreno, R.; Duran, A. Silica-Zirconiá Coatings Produced by Dipping and EPD From Colloidal Sol-Gel Suspensions. J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol. 2005, 35, 51–55.

(13) Pishbin, F.; Simchi, A.; Ryan, M. P.; Boccaccini, A. R. A Study of the Electrophoretic Deposition of Bioglass Suspensions Using the Taguchi Experimental Design Approach. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 2010, 30, 2963–2970.

(14) Luo, J.; Fan, C. H.; Wang, X. H.; Liu, R.; Liu, X. Y. A Novel Electrochemical Sensor for Paracetamol Based on Molecularly Imprinted Polymeric Micelles. *Sens. Actuators, B* **2013**, *188*, 909–916. (15) Fan, C. H.; Yang, Y. Q.; Zhao, W.; Xiao, Y.; Luo, J.; Liu, X. Y. Research on Amphiphilic Copolymer MIP Micelles Eelectrochemical Sensor. *Acta Chim. Sin.* **2013**, *71*, 934–940.

(16) Wang, X. H.; Luo, J.; Yi, C. L.; Liu, X. Y. Paracetamol Sensor Based on Molecular Imprinting by Photosensitive Polymers. *Electroanalysis* **2013**, 25, 1907–1916.

(17) Zhang, R. L.; Xu, S.; Luo, J.; Liu, X. Y. Molecularly Imprinted Photo-Sensitive Polyglutamic Acid Nanoparticles for Electrochemical Sensing of Hemoglobin. *Microchim. Acta* **2015**, *182*, 175–183.

(18) Negishi, H.; Yanagishita, H.; Yokokawa, H. In *Electrophoretic Deposition of Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Material Powders*, Proceedings of the Electrochemical Society on Electrophoretic Deposition: Fundamentals and Applications, Pennington, 2002, 2002-2021, 214–221.

(19) Basu, R. N.; Randall, C. A.; Mayo, M. J. Fabrication of Dense Zirconia Electrolyte Films for Tubular Solid Oxide Fuel Cells by Electrophoretic Deposition. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2001**, *84*, 33–40.

(20) Bobacka, J.; Lewenstam, A.; Ivaska, A. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy of Oxidized Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) Film Electrodes in Aqueous Solutions. J. Electroanal. Chem. 2000, 489, 17–27.

(21) Besra, L.; Liu, M. L. A Review on Fundamentals and Applications of Electrophoretic Deposition (EPD). *Prog. Mater. Sci.* 2007, 52, 1–61.

(22) Krüger, H. G.; Knote, A.; Schindler, U.; Kern, H.; Boccaccini, A. Composite Ceramic Metal Coatings by Means of Combined Electrophoretic Deposition. J. Mater. Sci. 2004, 39, 839–844.

(23) Zhitomirsky, I. Cathodic Electrodeposition of Ceramic and Organoceramic Materials. Fundamental Aspects. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2002, 97, 279–315.

(24) Liu, B.; Ouyang, X. Q.; Ding, Y. P.; Luo, L. Q.; Xu, D.; Ning, Y. Q. Electrochemical Preparation of Nickel and Copper Oxides-Decorated Graphene Composite for Simultaneous Determination of Dopamine, Acetaminophen and Tryptophan. *Talanta* **2016**, *146*, 114–121.

(25) Prabakaran, E.; Sheela Violet Rani, V.; Brabakaran, A.; Pandian, K.; Jesudurai, D. A Green Approach to the Synthesis of Eriochrome Black-T Capped Silver Nanoparticles and Its Electrochemical Detection of L-Tryptophan and L-Tyrosine in Blood Sample and Antibacterial Activity. J. Adv. Electrochem. **2016**, *2*, 78–84.